

**United States District Court****WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN****UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

V.

**JOSEPH LEE THOMPSON****ORDER OF DETENTION  
PENDING TRIAL**Case Number: 1:05-CR-254

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

**Part I - Findings of Fact**

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

**Alternate Findings (A)**

- ☒ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☒ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☒ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

**Alternate Findings (B)**

- ☐ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☐ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant has a lengthy criminal record and is presently charged with several drug offenses and possession of 27 firearms as a convicted felon. Defendant has previously had difficulty behaving while under court authority. He failed to appear in court on 3 related driving offenses in 2004; his probation on a receiving and concealing stolen property offense was revoked when he took off the tether and told his probation officer he would rather go to prison; his parole from the receiving and concealing offense was eventually terminated and he was returned to prison after being convicted of Criminal Sexual Conduct III; he failed to appear in court in regard to a suspended operators license. (Continued on attachment)

**Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention**

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will assure the safety of the community and the presence of the defendant, in light of his failure to rebut the presumptions that arise in this case. Defendant's earlier criminal record is collateral to that presumption and defendant's attempts to explain away some of the details of the criminal history do nothing under the facts of this case to rebut the presumption itself.

**Part III - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: December 1, 2005/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.

Signature of Judicial Officer

Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

United States v. Joseph Lee Thompson  
1:05-CR-254

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL**

Page 2.

**Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)**

While defendant has made some attempts to explain away this behavior, even if some of defendant's behavior while on probation, parole or awaiting trial could be explained away, these explanations do nothing to set aside the rebuttable presumption in this case. The rebuttable presumption arises in this case entirely apart from any other criminal behavior on defendant's part.